

A CONDITION OF UNIFORM EXPONENTIAL STABILITY FOR SEMIGROUPS

CONSTANTIN BUŞE AND CONSTANTIN P. NICULESCU

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper is to prove that the uniform exponential stability of a strongly continuous semigroup $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ (acting on a complex Hilbert space H) can be derived as a consequence of the well behavior of its numerical range in a suitable Orlicz space. More precisely, assuming that there exists an Orlicz space $E = (L^\Phi, \rho^\Phi)$ over \mathbf{R}_+ such that

$$\liminf_{\alpha \downarrow 0} [\alpha \| \exp_{-\alpha} \|_{E^*}] = 0$$

and

$$\sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \rho^\Phi(|\langle T(\cdot)x, x \rangle|) \leq M < \infty,$$

then the uniform growth bound ω_0 of the semigroup verifies an estimate of the form

$$\omega_0 \leq M_\beta := \beta - (2M \| \exp_{-\beta} \|_{E^*})^{-1} < 0$$

for some positive number β . As an application, the well posedness of an abstract infinite time Cauchy problem is discussed.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let H be a complex Hilbert space and let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Recall that a semigroup $\mathbf{T} = \{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ on H is called:

- *weakly- L^p -stable* if for every $x, y \in H$ we have

$$\int_0^\infty |\langle T(t)x, y \rangle|^p dt < \infty;$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47D02, 47D06; Secondary 35B35.

Key words and phrases. Strongly continuous semigroup, Orlicz space, uniform exponential stability.

The research of the first author was partially supported by the Grant CNCSIS 546/2007.

The second author was partially supported by the Grant CEEEX 05-D11-36.

- *uniformly exponentially stable* if its uniform growth bound is negative, that is

$$\omega_0(\mathbf{T}) := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \|T(t)\|}{t} < 0,$$

or, equivalently, if

$$\|T(t)\| \leq Ne^{-\nu t} \text{ for all } t \geq 0.$$

for some positive constants N and ν .

It is clear that each uniformly exponentially semigroup is weakly- L^p -stable. In 1983 A. J. Pritchard and J. Zabczyk [9] raised the problem whether every weakly- L^p -stable semigroup is uniformly exponentially stable. The answer is positive and a solution can be found in [3], [11]. In this note we extend their result to the more general framework of Orlicz spaces. In order to formulate our generalization we shall need a preparation on Orlicz spaces. For further details the reader is referred to [4], [5], [6], [1] and references therein.

The Orlicz spaces over \mathbb{R}_+ are attached to nondecreasing convex functions $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ such that $\Phi(0) = \Phi(0+) = 0$ and Φ is not identically 0 or ∞ on $(0, \infty)$. We denote by L^Φ the set of all complex-valued measurable functions f defined on \mathbb{R}_+ for which there exists a positive λ such that $\int_0^\infty \Phi(\lambda|f(t)|)dt < \infty$. Clearly, L^Φ is a linear space with respect to the usual operations and we can turn L^Φ into an Orlicz space by considering on it the norm ρ^Φ , where

$$\rho^\Phi(f) := \inf\{k > 0 : \int_0^\infty \Phi(k^{-1}|f(t)|)dt \leq 1\}.$$

If Φ satisfies the Δ_2 -condition i.e., there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\Phi(2t) \leq C\Phi(t) \text{ for all } t \geq 0,$$

then the dual space $(L^\Phi)^*$ is also an Orlicz space. Moreover, in this case $(L^\Phi)^*$ can be identified with L^{Φ^*} , where

$$\Phi^*(t) := \sup_{s \geq 0} (ts - \Phi(s)), \quad t \geq 0$$

is the Legendre transform of Φ .

Clearly, all Lebesgue spaces $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ (for $1 \leq p < \infty$) are examples of Orlicz spaces which satisfy the Δ_2 -condition.

We can now state our main result:

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathbf{T} = \{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a strongly continuous semigroup acting on a complex Hilbert space H . Then \mathbf{T} is uniformly exponentially*

stable if (and only if) it verifies the following condition

$$(1.1) \quad M = \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \rho^\Phi(|\langle T(\cdot)x, x \rangle|) < \infty,$$

with respect to an Orlicz space $E = (L^\Phi, \rho^\Phi)$ whose dual space E^* has the property that

$$(1.2) \quad \liminf_{\alpha \downarrow 0} [\alpha \|\exp_{-\alpha}\|_{E^*}] = 0.$$

The necessity of the condition (1.1) is straightforward. In fact, if the semigroup \mathbf{T} is uniformly exponentially stable, then (1.1) works for all Orlicz spaces. The sufficiency part is detailed in the next section.

As shows the case where \mathbf{T} is the left translation semigroup on $H = L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $E = L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$, the condition (1.2) is essential for the validity of Theorem 1.

In the special case where $\Phi(t) = t^p$ (for $1 \leq p < \infty$), the result of Theorem 1 was first proved by G. Weiss [11]. Clearly, in that case the condition (1.2) is automatically fulfilled. Our result covers more general Orlicz functions Φ which satisfy the Δ_2 -condition and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t \rho^{\Phi^*}(\exp_{-t}) = 0$ such as $\Phi(t) = e^t - t - 1$. In this case

$$\Phi^*(t) = (t + 1) \ln(t + 1) - t$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{\Phi^*}(\exp_{-\alpha}) &= \inf\{k > 0 : \int_0^\infty \Phi^*\left(\frac{e^{-\alpha t}}{k}\right) dt \leq 1\} \\ &= \inf\{k > 0 : \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^{1/k} \frac{u+1}{u} \ln(u+1) du - \frac{1}{k\alpha} \leq 1\} \\ &= \sup\{b > 0 : \int_0^b \frac{u+1}{u} \ln(u+1) du \leq b + \alpha\} = b_0, \end{aligned}$$

where b_0 is the unique solution of the following equation (in variable x),

$$\int_0^x \frac{u+1}{u} \ln(u+1) du = x + \alpha.$$

The map $\alpha : x \rightarrow \int_0^x \frac{u+1}{u} \ln(u+1) du - x$ (from $[0, \infty)$ into $[0, \infty)$) is surjective and also increasing, so that its inverse is continuous. Consequently α^{-1} is bounded on $[0, 1]$, which yields $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t \rho^{\Phi^*}(\exp_{-t}) = 0$.

J.M.A.M. van Neerven [7] has noticed that any bounded strongly continuous semigroup (acting on a complex Hilbert space H) is uniformly exponentially stable if there exists a nondecreasing function

$\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\varphi(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$ and

$$\int_0^\infty \varphi(|\langle T(t)x, y \rangle|) dt < \infty, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in H.$$

We leave open the question whether the boundedness condition can be dropped.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Proof. We already noticed that only the sufficiency part needs an argument. For this, we need the remark that the condition of boundedness (1.1) yields

$$N = \sup_{\|x\|, \|y\| \leq 1} \rho^\Phi(|\langle T(\cdot)x, y \rangle|) \leq 2M < \infty,$$

as a consequence of the polarization identity

$$\langle T(t)x, y \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k \langle T(t)(x + i^k y), x + i^k y \rangle.$$

The next step is to motivate the existence of the improper integral

$$\int_0^\infty u^*(t)T(t)x dt := \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^s u^*(t)T(t)x dt,$$

for all $u^* \in E^*$ and $x \in H$. In terms of series, this limit means the convergence of

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{s_n}^{s_{n+1}} u^*(t)T(t)x dt$$

for all positive sequences $(s_n)_n$, with $s_0 = 0$, which are increasing to ∞ . This can be derived from a classical result due to Orlicz-Pettis, which asserts that every weakly unconditionally convergent series (in a Banach space) is also unconditionally convergent. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^N \left| \left\langle \int_{s_n}^{s_{n+1}} u^*(t)T(t)x dt, y \right\rangle \right| &= \sum_{n=0}^N e^{i\lambda_n} \left\langle \int_{s_n}^{s_{n+1}} u^*(t)T(t)x dt, y \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{s_n}^{s_{n+1}} e^{i\lambda_n} u^*(t)T(t)x dt, y \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \int_0^{s_{N+1}} \left(\sum_{n=0}^N e^{i\lambda_n} \chi_{[s_n, s_{n+1})}(t) \right) u^*(t)T(t)x dt, y \right\rangle \\ &\leq M \|u^*\|_{E^*} \|x\| \|y\|, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in H$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, which yields the weak unconditional convergence of the series (2.1).

Since

$$\left\| \int_0^s u^*(t)T(t)xdt \right\| = \sup_{\|y\| \leq 1} \left| \left\langle \int_0^s u^*(t)T(t)xdt, y \right\rangle \right|$$

we get also the inequality

$$\left\| \int_0^\infty u^*(t)T(t)xdt \right\| \leq M\|x\| \|u^*\|_{E^*}.$$

As well known, the dual space of any Orlicz space is a rearrangement invariant Banach function space which contains the space $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$. See [1], [5], [6]. Thus for each $\beta > 0$ the function $\exp_{-\beta}$ belongs to E^* . Moreover, if $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0$, then the improper integral $\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} T(t)xdt$ exists for all $x \in X$; necessarily, every such λ belongs to $\rho(A)$ and the formula $R(\lambda, A)x = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} T(t)xdt$ holds.

By our hypothesis (1.2), we can choose a $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\beta = \operatorname{Re} z_0 > 0$ and

$$M_{z_0} := \beta - (2M\|\exp_{-\beta}\|_{E^*})^{-1} < 0.$$

Then for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $M_{z_0} < \operatorname{Re} \lambda < 0$ the point $\lambda_0 = \operatorname{Re} z_0 + i \operatorname{Im} \lambda$ belongs to $\rho(A)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda - \lambda_0| &= \operatorname{Re} \lambda_0 - \operatorname{Re} \lambda < (2M\|\exp_{-\beta}\|_{E^*})^{-1} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\|R(\lambda_0, A)\|} < \frac{1}{\|R(\lambda_0, A)\|}, \end{aligned}$$

this yields that λ also belongs to $\rho(A)$ and

$$\|R(\lambda, A)\| \leq \frac{\|R(\lambda_0, A)\|}{1 - |\lambda - \lambda_0|\|R(\lambda_0, A)\|} \leq 2M\|\exp_{-\beta}\|_{E^*}.$$

Finally, the Gearhart-Prüss Theorem (see [2], [10]) allows us to conclude that $\omega_0(\mathbf{T}) \leq M_{z_0} < 0$. \square

3. APPLICATIONS

In this section we consider a linear operator $A : D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$ acting on the complex Hilbert space H , that generates a strongly continuous semigroup $\mathbf{T} = \{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$.

Theorem 2. *Under the above assumptions on A , if moreover*

- (i) Φ verifies the Δ_2 -condition and $M = \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \rho^\Phi(|\langle T(\cdot)x, x \rangle|) < \infty$;

(ii) the corresponding dual function Φ^* is strictly increasing on $[0, \infty)$ and

$$\liminf_{\alpha \downarrow 0} [\alpha \| \exp_{-\alpha} \|_{L^{\Phi^*}}] = 0,$$

then for each $b \in H$ and each $u^*(\cdot)$ in L^{Φ^*} , the following infinite time Cauchy Problem

$$(A, b, -\infty, 0) : \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) &= Ax(t) + bu^*(-t) \quad \text{for } t \leq 0 \\ x(-\infty) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} x(t) = 0, \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution on $(-\infty, 0]$.

Proof. First we shall prove that the function ϕ given by the improper integral

$$\phi(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t T(t-\tau)u^*(-\tau)bd\tau = \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \int_s^t T(t-\tau)u^*(-\tau)bd\tau,$$

is correctly defined on $(-\infty, 0]$. In fact, using the Hölder inequality, for all $t_1 < t_2$ in $(-\infty, t]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} T(t-\tau)u^*(-\tau)bd\tau \right\| &\leq \sup_{\|y\| \leq 1} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |\langle T(t-\tau)b, y \rangle| \cdot |u^*(-\tau)| d\tau \\ &\leq \sup_{\|y\| \leq 1} \int_{t-t_2}^{t-t_1} |\langle T(\rho)b, y \rangle| \cdot |u^*(\rho-t)| d\rho \\ &\leq \sup_{\|y\| \leq 1} \int_0^\infty 1_{[t-t_2, t-t_1]}(\rho) |\langle T(\rho)b, y \rangle| \cdot |u^*(\rho-t)| d\rho \\ &\leq 2M \|b\| \rho^{\Phi^*} (1_{[t-t_2, t-t_1]}(\cdot) |u^*(\cdot-t)|). \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that L^{Φ^*} is rearrangement invariant, we have the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{\Phi^*} (1_{[t-t_2, t-t_1]}(\cdot) |u^*(\cdot-t)|) &= \rho^{\Phi^*} (1_{[-t_2, -t_1]}(t+\cdot) |u^*(\cdot)|) \\ &= \rho^{\Phi^*} (1_{[-t-t_2, -t-t_1]}(\cdot) |u^*(\cdot)|). \end{aligned}$$

Put $s_1 = -t - t_2$ and $s_2 = -t - t_1$. Then $0 \leq -t \leq s_1 < s_2 < \infty$ and, conversely, all such pairs s_1, s_2 come this way.

Given $0 < \eta \leq 1$, the function $\frac{1}{\eta}u^*(\cdot)$ belongs to L^{Φ^*} , which yields $\int_0^\infty \Phi^*(\frac{1}{\eta}|u^*(\tau)|)d\tau < \infty$. Therefore there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $\delta \leq s_1 < s_2 < \infty$ we have

$$\int_{s_1}^{s_2} \Phi^* \left(\frac{1}{\eta} |u^*(\tau)| \right) d\tau = \int_0^\infty \Phi^* \left(1_{[s_1, s_2]}(\tau) \frac{1}{\eta} |u^*(\tau)| \right) d\tau \leq \eta \leq 1.$$

This gives us

$$\rho^{\Phi^*} (1_{[-t-t_2, -t-t_1]}(\cdot) |u^*(\cdot)|) \leq \eta,$$

whenever $t_1 < t_2 < -\delta$. In fact,

$$\eta \in \{k > 0 : \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \Phi^* \left(\frac{1}{k} |u^*(\tau)| \right) d\tau = \int_0^\infty \Phi^* \left(1_{[s_1, s_2]} \frac{1}{k} |u^*(\tau)| \right) d\tau \leq 1\}.$$

Clearly, ϕ verifies the integral equation

$$x(t) = T(t-s)x(s) + \int_s^t T(t-\tau)u^*(-\tau)bd\tau, \quad s \leq t \leq 0.$$

Moreover, for each $t < 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi(t)\| &= \left\| \int_{-\infty}^t T(t-\tau)u^*(-\tau)bd\tau \right\| \\ &= \sup_{\|y\| \leq 1} \int_0^\infty |(T(\rho)b, y)| \cdot |u^*(\rho-t)| d\rho \\ &\leq 2M\|b\|\rho^{\Phi^*}(|u^*(\cdot-t)|) = \rho^{\Phi^*}(1_{[-t, \infty)}(\cdot)|u^*(\cdot)|). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand $\rho^{\Phi^*}(1_{[-t, \infty)}(\cdot)|u^*(\cdot)|) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow -\infty$. Indeed, for $1 \geq \varepsilon > 0$ arbitrarily fixed and $t < 0$ sufficiently small, we have

$$\int_0^\infty \Phi^* \left(1_{[-t, \infty)}(s) \frac{|u^*(s)|}{\varepsilon} \right) ds = \int_{-t}^\infty \Phi^* \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} |u^*(s)| \right) ds < \varepsilon.$$

Then $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \phi(t) = 0$, which ends the proof of the fact that ϕ is a solution of the problem $(A, b, -\infty, 0)$. \square

Theorem 3. *Assume that Φ satisfies the condition (1.2). If for each $b \in H$ and each $u^*(\cdot) \in (L^\Phi)^*$ the infinite time Cauchy Problem $(A, b, -\infty, 0)$ has a unique solution, then the semigroup generated by A is uniformly exponentially stable.*

Proof. Let E the set of all H -valued bounded and continuous functions g defined on $(-\infty, 0]$. Endowed with the norm $\|g\|_E := \sup_{t \leq 0} |g(t)|$, the set E becomes a Banach space. Let $b \in H$ and $h > 0$ be fixed and denote by x_{u^*} the unique solution of $(A, b, -\infty, 0)$. We will consider the bounded linear operators P and Q , defined by:

$$u^* \mapsto Qu^* := x_{u^*} : (L^\Phi)^* \rightarrow E \text{ and } g \mapsto Pg := g(0) : E \rightarrow H.$$

Since PQ is bounded we infer the existence of a positive constant K_b such that

$$\left\| \int_{-\infty}^0 T(-\tau)u^*(-\tau)d\tau \right\| \leq K_b \|u^*\|_{(L^\Phi)^*} \quad \text{for all } u^* \in (L^\Phi)^*.$$

Then for each $u^* \in (L^\Phi)^*$ with $u^*(s) = 0$ for all $s > h$, we have that

$$\left| \int_0^T \langle T(\tau)b, y \rangle u^*(\tau) d\tau \right| \leq K_b \|u^*\|_{(L^\Phi)^*} \text{ for all } y \in H, \|y\| \leq 1,$$

and because $(L^\Phi)^*$ is a Banach function space, the previous inequality actually works for all $u^* \in (L^\Phi)^*$. Equivalently,

$$\left| \int_0^\infty 1_{[0,h]}(\tau) \langle T(\tau)b, y \rangle u^*(\tau) d\tau \right| \leq K_b \|u^*\|_{(L^\Phi)^*},$$

for all $y \in H$, $\|y\| \leq 1$, and all $u^* \in (L^\Phi)^*$. Now it is easy to see that

$$\rho^\Phi(1_{[0,h]}(\cdot) |\langle T(\cdot)b, y \rangle|) \leq K_b \text{ for all } y \in H, \|y\| \leq 1.$$

Therefore

$$\rho^\Phi(|\langle T(\cdot)b, y \rangle|) \leq K_b \text{ for all } y \in H, \|y\| \leq 1,$$

and from Theorem 1 we can conclude that the semigroup \mathbf{T} is uniformly exponentially stable. \square

Assume that for each $x, y \in H$ the map $\langle T(\cdot)x, y \rangle$ defines an element of L^Φ . Then the map given by the formula

$$(x, y) \mapsto \langle T(\cdot)x, y \rangle : H \times H \rightarrow L^\Phi$$

is a continuous sesquilinear function (linear in the first variable and anti-linear in the second one). By the Closed Graph Theorem we get the existence of a positive constant M such that

$$\rho^\Phi(|\langle T(\cdot)x, y \rangle|) \leq M \|x\| \cdot \|y\| \text{ for all } x, y \in H.$$

This shows that the condition (1.1) can be replaced by the following one,

$$(3.1) \quad \int_0^\infty \Phi(|\langle T(t)x, y \rangle|) dt < \infty, \text{ for all } x, y \in H.$$

We conclude our paper with an example.

Let $H = L^2[0, \pi]$ and $A : D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$ given by $Ax = \frac{d^2x}{d\xi^2}$, where the domain $D(A)$ consists of all absolutely continuous functions $x(\cdot)$ defined on $[0, \pi]$, which verify the following three conditions: *i*) $x(0) = x(\pi) = 0$; *ii*) the first derivative $\frac{dx}{d\xi}$ is absolutely continuous on $[0, \pi]$; *iii*) the second derivative $\frac{d^2x}{d\xi^2}$ belongs to H . With the above notations, for each $u^*(\cdot) \in (L^\Phi)^*$ and each $b(\cdot) \in H$, the infinite time

Cauchy Problem

$$\frac{\partial y(t, \xi)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 y(t, \xi)}{\partial \xi^2} + u^*(-t)b(\xi) \quad \text{for } t \in (-\infty, 0], \xi \in (0, \pi)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \int_0^\pi |y(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi = 0$$

has a unique solution. Indeed, the uniform growth bound $\omega_0(\mathbf{T})$ of the semigroup \mathbf{T} generated by A is equal to -1 and condition (3.1) applies (due to the fact that Φ is a convex function).

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bennett and S. Sharpley, *Interpolation of Operators*, Academic Press, Boston, 1988.
- [2] L. Gearhart, *Spectral theory for contraction semigroups on Hilbert spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **236** (1978), 385-394.
- [3] F. Huang, *Characteristic conditions for exponential stability of linear dynamical systems in Hilbert spaces*, Ann. Diff. Eq., **1** (1983), 43-56.
- [4] A. Yu. Karlovich, L. Maligranda, *On the interpolation constant for Orlicz spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **129** (2001), no. 9, 27827-2739 (Electronic).
- [5] M. A. Krasnoselskii, Ya. B. Rutickii, *Convex functions and Orlicz spaces*, Noordhoff Ltd., Groningen, 1961.
- [6] L. Maligranda, *Orlicz spaces and interpolation*, Sem. Math. **5**, Dep. Mat. Univ. Estadual de Campinas, Campinas SP, Brazil, 1989.
- [7] J.M.A.M. van Neerven, *The Asymptotic Behavior of Semigroups of Linear Operators*, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1996.
- [8] J.M.A.M. van Neerven, B. Straub and L. Weis, *On the asymptotic behavior of a semigroup of linear operators*, Indag. Math., N. S., **6**(4), (1985), 453-476.
- [9] A. J. Pritchard, J. Zabczyk, *Stability and stabilizability of infinite dimensional systems*, SIAM Rev. **23** (1983), 25-52.
- [10] J. Prüss, *On the spectrum of C_0 -semigroups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **284** (1984), 847-857.
- [11] G. Weiss, *Weak L^p -stability of linear semigroup on a Hilbert space implies exponential stability*, J. Diff. Eqns., **76** (1988), 269-285.

WEST UNIVERSITY OF TIMISOARA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS BD. V. PARVAN, NO. 4, 300223-TIMISOARA, ROMANIA
E-mail address: buse@math.uvt.ro

UNIVERSITY OF CRAIOVA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STREET A. I. CUZA 13, CRAIOVA 200585, ROMANIA
E-mail address: cniculescu47@yahoo.com